Date: Tue, 6 Dec 2005 12:45:56 -0800 (PST)

From: "Karen Dudnikov" <tabberone@yahoo.com>

Subject: RE: counternotice

To: "Joel Lever" < jlever@kelaw.com>

There is nothing in the counternotice that obligates Seven Arts to go to court. (see Paragrpah VI of the counternotice) The statement is that if Seven Arts disagrees it MAY file legal action against me to prevent re-listing.

After asking Seven Arts to remove the black mark from our selling record and being refused, even after he admitted we are not the infringing party we had no choice but to file the counternotice to have the black mark removed. We volunteered in return for having the black mark removed we would not relist the fabric. He never responded to that offer.

Once the counternotice expires on December 20th the black mark on our record would be removed. It is not our intention to relist the fabric however if Seven Arts wishes to pursue the issue so be it.

See Paragraph II of the counternotice and 17 U.S.C. 512- Federal Jurisdication for this case is Denver Colorado. That is where you must file.

We are not seeking a legal confrontation, all we are seeking to do is have the black mark removed. As was explained over and over again to Ray.

Karen

--- Joel Lever < laver dealer com> wrote: > please add additional info to which this email > applies > > Joel S. Lever, Esq. > Kurzman Eisenberg Corbin Lever & Goodman, LLP > One North Broadway, 10th Floor > White Plains, New York 10601 (914) 285-9800 > Phone > Direct (914) 993-6050 (914) 629-4290 > Cell > Direct Fax: (914) 993-6015 (914) 285-9855 > Telecopy > The pages accompanying this e-mail originate from > the law firm of > Kurzmán Eisenberg Corbin Lever & Goodman, LLP > ("KECLG") and may be > confidential and/or privileged pursuant to the > attorney-client privilege > and work-product doctrine. The information is > intended for the use of > the individual or entity named. It is prohibited for > anyone else to > disclose, copy, distribute or use the contents of > this message if you